



NOTICE OF MEETING OF THE 34TH COUNCIL MEETING

I hereby give notice that the 34th Council meeting of the 7th Papakura District Council is to be held on:

DATE: Tuesday 15th December 2009

TIME: 4.00 P.M.

VENUE: Council Chambers
35 Coles Crescent
PAPAKURA

T Stratton
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

MEMBERSHIP:

Chairperson
Deputy Chairperson

His Worship the Mayor (Calum Penrose)
Clr Goldsmith

Clr Auva'a
Clr Catchpole
Clr Conroy
Clr Jones
Clr O'Connor
Clr Piggott
Clr Pringle

(Quorum 5 members)

(The reports and recommendations contained in this Order Paper are not necessarily Council Policy and should not be taken as Council Policy, or opinion)

PAPAKURA DISTRICT COUNCIL

CONTENTS

NO.	ITEM	PAGE
1.	Apologies	3
2.	Personal	3
3.	Confirmation of Minutes	3
4.	Approval of Recommendations	3
5.	Minutes – Te Roopu Kaitiaki O Papakura.....	3
6.	Deputations and Petitions	3
7.	Presentations	3
8.	Mayor’s Report.....	3
9.	Items for Decision	4-11
	(a) Papakura Highland Dance Club Request for Funding	4-5
	(b) Police Pay Parade.....	6-7
	(c) Targa Rally Sponsorship Proposal – March 2010.....	8-9
	(d) Purchase of Security Cameras	10-11
10.	Items for Information.....	12-13
	(a) Drury South Business Project	12-13
11.	Notices of Motion	13
12.	Confidential.....	14
	(a) Supply of Electricity to the Participating Councils of the Auckland Region Contract Ref No. CCE 2010.....	14
	(b) Designation of Proposed Road, off Hingaia Road	14

PAPAKURA DISTRICT COUNCIL

**AGENDA FOR THE 34TH MEETING OF THE PAPAKURA DISTRICT COUNCIL TO
BE HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS, 35 COLES CRESCENT, PAPAKURA
ON TUESDAY 15TH DECEMBER 2009 COMMENCING AT 4.00 P. M.**

1. APOLOGIES

2. PERSONAL

3. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

- (a) That the Minutes of the 33rd Council Meeting held on Tuesday 24th November 2009 be confirmed.
- (b) That the Minutes of the Extraordinary Council Meeting held on Tuesday 24th November 2009 be confirmed.

4. APPROVAL OF RECOMMENDATIONS

5. MINUTES – TE ROOPU KAITIAKI O PAPAKURA

- (a) That the Minutes of the Te Roopu Kaitiaki O Papakura Meeting held on Tuesday 17th November 2009 be received (Attachment No.1).

6. DEPUTATIONS

7. PRESENTATIONS

8. MAYOR'S REPORT

9. ITEMS FOR DECISION

**(a) PAPAURA HIGHLAND DANCE CLUB
REQUEST FOR FUNDING**

REPORTING OFFICER: Leora Hirsh
Manager Community Development

ATTACHMENT: Letter from Papakura City Highland Dancers
(Attachment No. 2)

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of this report is to seek Council direction regarding a request for funding from the Papakura City Highland Dancing Association.

BACKGROUND

The Papakura City Highland Dancing Association have sent a letter to His Worship the Mayor requesting support for the national Highland Dancing Competitions to be held in Papakura in March 2010.

The last time this group sought funding from Council was in 2005. At that time they were awarded a grant of \$1000, via the local grant fund, towards the national dance competition. The group has been operating in Papakura for 34 years

NARRATIVE

The Papakura City Highland Dancing Assoc are seeking \$1000 towards the costs of the national dance competition to be held in Papakura in March 2010, at Central Park.

The only discretionary funding Council has available for this type of request is via the local unspecified grants fund. However, the Allocation of Grants Committee generally only meets once a year to consider grant requests - in August. Unfortunately this group has missed this year's funding round and next year's funding round will be too late for their event.

Providing a grant outside of the established process does risk creating a precedent and does pose equity issues (in that other groups have not been given the same opportunity). However, if the Council is of a mind to support this group, there is budget remaining in the local unspecified grants budget.

At the August 2009 Allocation of Grants Committee \$48,050 was granted from the local unspecified grants fund. The total available pool of funding was \$53,000 (due to a carry forward of \$13,000 from the 2008/09 financial year). This leaves a balance of \$4,950 available that could be allocated as per the Council's grants policy.

When the funding was originally allocated, the amounts requested totalled considerably more than the total funds available. Accordingly, the recommendations to the Committee for the majority of the applications were to provide support at a lower level than what was sought by the applicant. It is noted that the request from the Papakura City Highland Dancers does fit within the priorities and parameters of the grants policy. If their request had been received at the same time as the other applications, it is likely that officers would have recommended that they receive a grant of \$800.

CONCLUSION

The Papakura Highland Dancers are seeking financial support to host the 2010 nationals in Papakura. Technically there is funding available in the grants fund, however Officers seek Council direction on whether to utilise the fund for this purpose as it is outside the usual funding process.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the information be received.
 2.
 - a. That Council grant \$800 to the Papakura City Highland Dance Association towards costs associated with the Highland and National Dancing Competition to be held in Papakura in March 2010.
- or
- b. That Council decline the Papakura City Highland Dance Association request for funding and advise the group to apply again for 2011 during the Council's annual grants funding round.

9. ITEMS FOR DECISION

(b) POLICE PAY PARADE

**REPORTING OFFICER: Teresa Turner
Director Business and Promotion**

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of this report is to seek Council direction regarding a request for funding for the police pay parade in March 2010.

BACKGROUND

The police pay parade is a recently revived event. Pay parades date back to the 19th century when police officers were required to assemble in their “number one uniform” and march to the office of the local magistrate. They were duly inspected and then handed their pay. Police no longer parade for this purpose, however, the idea has been developed to now include both award and medal presentations to officers. Commendations for excellence in police service, and acknowledgement of both police and citizen bravery are other achievements presented at the event.

The pay parade was revived in the Counties Manukau policing district in 2009 to celebrate the move of the Counties Manukau district police headquarters from Otahuhu to Manukau City. It is intended that the event will now take place each year with Papakura and Franklin taking their turn at hosting duties. The request for the parade to be held in Papakura was made by the District Commander through the office of the Mayor.

NARRATIVE

It is proposed that the police pay parade be held in Papakura on Monday March 1st from 10 am. Approximately 300 police officers (including police pipe bands) will gather at the intersection of Great South Road/Broadway/Elliot St and march along Great South Road to Central Park. Inspection will be carried out at Central Park by the Minister of Police, the District Commander and the Commissioner of Police and following the formalities, refreshments will be served.

The request to host the event includes providing road closure services, advertising in two local papers/public notice requirements, promoting the event to schools to encourage them to attend and event set-up (including providing seating and marquees). Estimated costs (based on other events) are as follows:

• Advertising/design/printing of flyers	\$2972
• Set-up	\$1310
• Road closure (TMP)	\$3500
TOTAL	\$7782

The mayor has also requested that balloons be made available for all schoolchildren attending. Assuming an attendance of 1000 schoolchildren, this would be an additional cost of \$1040. The total budget required (inclusive of balloons) would be \$8822. There is no unallocated budget in the 2009/10 events budget to support this event.

CONCLUSION

The police pay parade is a recently revived event which police have requested take place in the Papakura District in March 2010. In hosting the event, Council will incur costs of \$8822 as set out in this report. There are no unallocated funds within the 2009/10 events budget to support the event. If Council wishes to support the event, it may wish to consider funding the event from the unallocated budget surplus of \$31k from 2008/09.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the information be received.

9. ITEMS FOR DECISION

(c) TARGA RALLY SPONSORSHIP PROPOSAL – MARCH 2010

REPORTING OFFICER: Director Business and Promotion
Teresa Turner

ATTACHMENT: Targa Promotions (NZ) Ltd Sponsorship Proposal

(Attachment No. 3)

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of this report is to seek Council direction regarding a sponsorship request for the Targa Bambina Rally to be held in March 2010.

BACKGROUND

The Targa Rally was established in New Zealand in 1995 and now involves an annual three round series of road rallies:

- Targa Bambina (2 day event) proposed for the Papakura District in March
- Targa Rotorua (2 day event) in June
- Dunlop Targa (6 day event) Auckland – Wellington in October

Competitive stages of the road rally are held on closed roads and the touring stages on open roads. Targa New Zealand is a locally owned business based in Papakura and Papakura has previously hosted stages of the Dunlop Targa Rally and the Targa Bambina Rally.

NARRATIVE

Council has received a sponsorship request (see attachment) from Targa New Zealand for the Targa Bambina rally to be held in March 2010. The sponsorship is proposed for 2010 and a further two years.

The event would be held over two days and would start with a driver's briefing, documentation and scrutineering on Friday 12th March followed by a street show that evening. Racing starts on Saturday 13th March and competitors would return to Papakura in the evening for an evening function. Competitors would depart from Papakura on Sunday 14th March for a final day of racing.

The sponsorship request is for \$15,000 p.a. along with the provision of the following:

- The venue for drivers' briefing and documentation
- Secure car parking for all cars on Friday and Saturday
- Venue with security for the evening function and car display
- Council support with PR and co-ordinating local businesses and activities with Targa event organisers

It is estimated that provision for the above would cost \$2200 resulting in a total cost of \$17,200.

The proposal also outlines sponsor benefits including branding and signage opportunities and the opportunity to host a “Welcome Ceremony” for competitors.

The proposal outlines the benefits to the community of the event, and previous Targa rallies held in Papakura have proved to be popular and attracted good crowds to the town centre.

Council has previously supported Targa Bambina through provision of the venue for the driver’s briefing, provision of secure car parking and security throughout the event. Council has also acted as liaison with local businesses to encourage support for the event. Council has not previously provided a venue for an evening function as this sits better with the rally organisers to ensure that the venue “fits” with their aspirations for the event. Currently there are no unallocated funds within Council’s events or town centre budgets for 2009/10 to support this event.

CONCLUSION

The Targa Bambina Rally in March 2010 has the potential to be a popular event which could attract people to the town centre and the wider district. In order to secure the event in the district, the event organiser has submitted a sponsorship request for \$15,000. Currently there is no un-allocated amount in the events budget or the town centre budget in the 2009/2010 year to support this event. However, if Council wishes to support the event, Council could consider funding it from the unallocated surplus of \$31k from the 2008/9 year.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the information be received.

9. ITEMS FOR DECISION

(d) PURCHASE OF SECURITY CAMERAS

REPORTING OFFICER: Leora Hirsh
Manager Community Development

ATTACHMENT: Proposal from Safer Papakura Trust
(Attachment No. 4)

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of this report is to seek Council direction with regards to funding a proposal for the purchase of four Covert Instant Alert Security Cameras for the Papakura District.

BACKGROUND

For most of 2009 the Safer Papakura Trust (SPT) has been working closely with the Police and the Safer Community Advisory Committee to develop new initiatives to minimise graffiti in the Town Centre. The forum for these meetings is known as GAG (Graffiti Action Group).

Several months ago GAG had a demonstration by SLS Retail Theft Control of miniature CCTV camera units that begin filming in response to motion, and operate on a battery pack rather than being connected to mains power. The units then send a video message to a mobile phone to alert the phone holder of the activity happening. These units are known as Covert Instant Alert (CIA) security cameras. There has been a trial of one such unit at Papakura High School.

NARRATIVE

During the 2009 LTCCP meetings, elected members expressed an interest in increasing the level of CCTV surveillance in the Town Centre. CIA security cameras do provide a way of doing this at a cost significantly lower than installing permanent fixed cameras. The operating cost is also low as the cameras do not require an electrical connection. Both the Police and SPT believe CIA cameras would assist with ensuring safety in the town centre and a reduction in graffiti.

The proposal from SPT (see attached) is for Papakura District Council to provide funding to the Trust for that organisation to purchase and operate four CIA cameras. The methodology allows all four cameras to send a video message back to one mobile phone. The one-off capital purchase price is \$10,150. The proposal also allows for running costs via a mobile phone network of \$2,500 per unit, per year and for each unit to be moved once a month, for a total annual installation cost of \$3,600 per annum. How sites would be identified is not commented on in the proposal. It is assumed GAG would select the locations.

As with any CCTV methodology, it is most effective if monitored. In Option 1. of the SPT proposal the units would be monitored by volunteers, via a collaboration of SPT, Community Patrols and Maori Wardens.

Option 2. puts forward the concept of employing a person via a Ministry of Social Development fund to monitor the cameras during peak hours. Both proposals would have the 'monitor' phoning the duty sergeant to report any suspicious activity.

Council has budget available via the carry forward of the Community Initiatives Fund to fund the one-off capital purchase of the four CIA security cameras. Council does not have identified on-going annual budget of \$4,320 for operating costs or a further \$16,500 to cover the proposed annual cost of a human resource.

In the attached proposal SPT is seeking funding for both the set-up and running costs of this project. Council could potentially fund the \$4,320 operating costs for the first year, on the proviso that SPT seek alternative funding for these costs in future years, or fund them from within its own budget.

It is acknowledged that it will be extremely difficult to organise a volunteer pool to monitor the cameras for the range of outside-business-hours required. However, Council does not have identified budget available to fund the human resource component in Option 2. It is therefore suggested that SPT seek alternative funding for Option 2, if this is their preferred option.

Although Council has expressed some interest in CCTV in the past and does have budget available, generally such proposals would be the outcome of a comprehensive study of options with corresponding budget identified clearly in the LTCCP. Therefore, Council direction is sought as to whether or not to accept this proposal and if confirmed, to what level.

CONCLUSION

Safer Papakura Trust has put forward to Council a proposal for the purchase and operation of a miniature, mobile system of CCTV.

Council has not visibly identified CCTV as a budget item within the LTCCP, therefore Council direction is sought with regards to utilising the Community Initiatives Fund carry-forward for this purpose.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the information be received.
2. That Council endorse the proposal from Safer Papakura Trust and providing funding for Option 1 of the proposal, including one year's operating costs.
or
3. That Council thank the Safer Papakura Trust for its Covert Instant Alert security camera proposal, but decline this proposal as not being within identified budget priorities.

10. ITEMS FOR INFORMATION

(a) DRURY SOUTH BUSINESS PROJECT

**REPORTING OFFICER: Anne Cheng
Manager Policy Planning**

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

This report sets out the indicative forward work programme for the Drury South Business Project leading to notification of District Plan changes, associated changes to regional statutory documents and regional consent applications.

BACKGROUND

Previous briefings and the report to Council on 25 August 2009 have described the Drury South Business Project and the investigations that are underway and progress made.

Following the initial feasibility investigations, the current phase of the project focuses on technical investigations and preparation of reports to inform the concept design for the Project, community and landowner consultation, consultation with relevant statutory agencies, and preparation of planning provisions, including a Structure Plan to guide future development.

NARRATIVE

Scope of Changes to Statutory Documents

Implementation of the project requires rezoning of land within the project area, and extension of the Metropolitan Urban Limits (MUL). This involves technical investigations and preparation of new District Plan provisions for industrial use and a Structure Plan to guide future development of the project area. This will lead to the lodgement of private plan change requests to the Papakura District and Franklin District Councils. The Stevenson Group have engaged Consultants to carry out this work.

The private plan change requests will also require complementary applications to the Auckland Regional Council (ARC) to shift the Metropolitan Urban Limit (MUL) in the Auckland Regional Policy Statement to allow urban expansion to occur, with a consequential amendment to the Air, Land, Water Regional Plan (Urban Air Quality Management Area boundary), as well as a stormwater discharge consent application. These regional applications will need to be made jointly by the Papakura District and Franklin District Councils, upon accepting or adopting the private plan change requests.

The technical investigations, which will inform the Assessment of Environmental Effects (AEE) and the Section 32 Report to support the District Plan change requests, will also become an integral part of the MUL shift and stormwater discharge consent applications.

Infrastructure Planning

Major roading and stormwater management infrastructure is required to be constructed to service the future development area. It is likely that land will need to be designated for these physical works. These infrastructure facilities should be shown as part of the District Plan change provisions to reflect the planning intentions for the area. Further investigation and consideration is necessary to determine how best to reflect the infrastructure planning provisions in the District Plan.

Indicative Forward Work Programme

A forward work programme targets notification of changes to the statutory documents in July 2010. The key dates are as follows:

- Initial comments from Council on all AEEs 4/12/09
- Revised AEE for review by Council 22/12/09
- Final comments from Council on AEEs 26/1/10
- Lodgement of District Plan change 10/2/10
- Discussions with ARC re regional applications February 2010 onwards
- Council workshop 2/3/10
- Council accepts/adopts District Plan change end March 2010
- Lodge MUL and associated regional applications April 2010
- Target notification July 2010

This is a high level programme, and does not include every task. The programme may need refinement and adjustment to provide for liaison and discussion with key stakeholders, including the Auckland Regional Council; and if major changes to the suite of documents for the statutory change process are required. The key is to aim for notification in July 2010.

CONCLUSION

Papakura District and Franklin District Councils are working collaboratively with the Stevenson Group Consultants to progress the work leading to lodgement of private plan change requests and notification of changes to statutory documents under the Resource Management Act 1991. This report is being presented to inform each Council of the indicative forward work programme.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the information be received.

11. NOTICES OF MOTION

12. CONFIDENTIAL

- (a) **SUPPLY OF ELECTRICITY TO THE PARTICIPATING COUNCILS OF THE AUCKLAND REGION CONTRACT REF NO. CCE 2010**
- (b) **DESIGNATION OF PROPOSED ROAD, OFF HINGAIA ROAD**
-

RECOMMENDATION

1. That Council move into public excluded session. The general subject matter to be considered, the reasons for passing this resolution in relation to each matter and the specific grounds under S 7 (2) i of Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 are:

Item	Reason	Grounds
12(a) Supply of Electricity to the Participating Councils of the Auckland Region Contract Ref No. CCE 2010	To enable Council to carry on, without prejudice or disadvantage negotiations (including commercial or industrial negotiations).	S 7 (2) i
12(b) Designation of Proposed Road, off Hingaia Road	To enable Council to carry on, without prejudice or disadvantage negotiations (including commercial or industrial negotiations).	S 7 (2) i